DOCUMENT RESUME

מו 159 659

CS 004 422

UTHOR Wigfield, Allan; Asher, Steven R.

ITLE Age Differences in Children's Referential

Communication Performance: An Investigation of Task

Effects. Technical Report No. 96.

NSTITUTION Bolt, Beranek and Newman, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.;

Illinois Univ., Urbana. Center for the Study of

Reading.

PONS AGENCY National Inst. of Child Health and Buman Development

(NIH), Bethesda, Md.; National Inst. of Education

(DHEW), Washington, D.C.

UB DATE Jul 78

ONT RACT 400-76-0116

RANT HD-07303

OTE 31p.

DRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$2.06 Plus Postage.

ESCRIPTORS *Age Differences: *Communication (Thought Transfer);

*Communication Skills: Elementary Education: Grade 3:

Grade 5: *Intelligence; Perceptual Tevelcrment;

*Performance Pactors: Reading Achievement:

Relationship: *Task Perfcrance: Word Recognition

DENTIFIERS *Center for the Study of Reading (Illincis);

Communication Research

BSTRACT

To assess the effects of age differences, type of ask, intelligence (IQ), and reading achievement on referential ommunication performance, third and fifth grade children were asked o communicate messages for three types of tasks: word pairs, picture escriptions, and school-locations directions. The latter was pecifically designed to assess how children perform on a more cologically valid task than is typically used in referential ommunication studies. Results indicated that age differences in ommunication accuracy were greatest on the more ecologically valid ask, that performance on the three communication tasks was more ighly correlated in fifth than in third grade (although the elationships were not exceptionally strong at either grade level), nd that reading achievement was found to correlate significantly ith communication accuracy scores, despite the fact that IQ and ommunication accuracy were not significantly related. (Tables and igures are appended.) (Author/MAI)

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN. ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Technical Report No. 96

AGE DIFFERENCES IN CHILDREN'S REFERENTIAL COMMUNICATION PERFORMANCE:
AN INVESTIGATION OF TASK EFFECTS

Allan Wigfield and Steven R. Asher

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

July 1978

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 51 Gerty Drive Champaign, Illinois 61820

Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc. 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

This paper is based on a masters thesis submitted by the first author in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the M.A. degree in Educational Psychology at the University of Illinois, 1977. The research was supported in part by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development under Grant No. HD 07303, and in part by the National Institute of Education under Contract No. US-NIE-C-400-76-0116. We would like to thank the staff and pupils of Bottenfield School in Champaign, Illinois for their cooperation, and R. L. Hinkle for his help with data analysis.

Age Differences in Children's Referential Communication Performance:

An Investigation of Task Effects

Children's referential communication performance has been assessed using a variety of tasks. For example, on Rosenberg and Cohen's (1966) word pair task the speaker and listener are each shown a pair of words (e.g., ocean-river). The speaker knows which word has the line under it and the listener doesn't. The speaker's task is to provide a clue word (e.g., 'waves'') that would help the listener identify which word is the referent. On this and other tasks it is clear that referential communication performance improves considerably with age (Glucksberg, Krauss, & Higgins, 1975). However, the developmental changes that underlie this improvement are just beginning to be understood.

One plausible explanation for performance differences over age is implied by Rosenberg and Cohen's (1966) model of the communication process. Rosenberg and Cohen contend that given the task of communicating about a referent, the speaker first samples a response from a hierarchy of word associations to the referent. The probability of sampling a response, is said to be proportional to its occurrence as a word associate. Next, the speaker is said to compare the sampled response to both the referent and the nonreferent. If the association value to the referent is greater, the message is likely to be emitted; if the value is smaller, the message probably will be rejected and another cycle of sampling-comparison begun. Several recent studies (e.g., Asher, 1976; Asher & Oden, 1976; Whitehurst & Merkur, 1977) have demonstrated that young children do not engage in comparison activity when communicating to a listener.

As a result, they emit messages which do not differentiate the referent from the nonreferent.

It could be suggested that the rather artificial laboratory tasks employed in most referential studies underestimate young children's communication ability, in general, and comparison ability, in particular. Studies have indicated that the topic of discussion greatly affects how well children communicate (e.g., Berlyne & Frommer, 1966; Williams & Naremore, 1969). In discussing these and other studies Cazden (1970) stated that situational variables have often been neglected in studies of children's communication ability. That is, Cazden argued that the choice of a particular topic of discussion or type of task can greatly influence the results obtained. With respect to referential communication, it is quite possible that the unfamiliarity or artificiality of the typical referential task leads children to ignore what they do appreciate in everyday life—that messages, to be effective, must help a listener select among similar alternatives.

The present study investigated the contribution of task factors by assessing children's performance on a task with considerably more ecological walldity than the typical laboratory referential communication task. Children were asked to give directions to a "new child" in their school. Five locations in the school, familiar to all children, were selected. The child was asked to give the newcomer directions to each of the five locations, one location at a time. This task was thought to assess referential communication skills in a situation like one children might encounter in everyday life. The task was inspired by one used by Flavell, Botkin, Fr., Wright, and Jarvis (1968)

in which children critiqued map directions. In that study no speaker performance was assessed.

Third and fifth grade children participated in the present study. In addition to being given the school-locations task, children were given two, more traditional laboratory-type tasks: the word pair task and a picture description task developed by Baldwin, McFarlane, and Garvey (1971). The major question of interest was the magnitude of the age differences on each of the three tasks. If laboratory tasks are underestimating young children's skill, then age differences should be smallest on the directions task. If, however, younger children's failure to engage in comparison activity extends beyond laboratory tasks, age differences should be at least as great on the school-locations task as on the other tasks.

A second purpose of the present study was to examine the relationship of performance across tasks within each age group. Insofar as all three tasks in the present study require the speaker to engage in comparison activity, significant correlations would be expected. Yet each task has unique features which may demand specific skills. The word pair task requires that the speaker produce a succinct (i.e., one word) message that discriminates the referent from the nonreferent. The picture task requires that the speaker attend to subtle perceptual differences between the referent and the highly similar nonreferents. The school-locations task likely requires spatial representation abilities. Thus, it is possible that the correlation between tasks will be modest despite the comparison activity requirement that the three tasks share. Two studies have explored the relationship between performance on different referential tasks and have found

modest correlations ranging from .1 to .5 (Baldwin & Garvey, 1973; Piché, Michlin, Rubin, & Johnson, 1975). However, each study used only one age group and confounded listener with speaker error (Krauss & Glucksberg, 1969) by using listeners who were at the same grade level as the speakers. In the present study, the correlations were examined separately at each age level in order to determine if the pattern of relationships changes across age. Also, speaker's messages were scored by adult judges in order to avoid confounding speaker and listener error.

A third purpose of the present study was to assess the relationship between children's referential communication accuracy and their reading achievement and IQ test scores. Most previous studies have found no relationship between IQ scores and communication accuracy (Glucksberg et al., 1975), but research to date has not examined the relationship between communication accuracy and reading achievement. In the present study correlation coefficients were computed between each of the three tasks and both IQ and reading achievement test performance.

Method

Subjects

The children attended a predominately middle class school in Champaign, Illinois. Of 23 third and 24 fifth grade children, 20 third and 16 fifth grade children received parental permission to participate. There were 13 girls and 7 boys in third grade and 7 girls and 9 boys in fifth grade. The mean age of third grade children was 8.75 years, and the mean age of the fifth grade children was 11 years.

Ś

Procedure

The three tasks were administered individually to each child in one testing session. The order of task presentation was counterbalanced. Within each grade, children were randomly assigned to the six orders of presentation. The experimenter (the first author) wrote down the word pair clues and take recorded the picture description messages and the school-locations messages on a portable tape recorder. The experimenter later transcribed the tapes. After the tapes had been transcribed, the transcriptions were checked against the tape by the experimenter and one independent rater.

Communication Tasks

School-locations directions task. Five locations in and around the school were selected as referents. The five locations were the office, the child's classroom, the basketball hoops in the playground, the school's exterior "portable white building" (so named by the children) and the gymmasium. All but two children knew the location of these places. These two children did not know the location of the portable white building, and for them the school library was substituted. Figure 1 depicts the map of the school with each referent location designated. All children were tested in the hallway

Insert Figure 1 about here

just outside their classroom. Each child was first told that he or she would be playing a directions game. The child was oriented to the game by walking him or her down the corridor to the front door. The child was



told, "Now we are going to tell some directions. I bet you know how to get around your school. Do you know where the office is?" The child had to respond by pointing or verbally indicating the direction. The experimenter checked for knowledge of the other four locations and then continued: "Let's pretend that there is a new person in your class. She (for girls) doesn't know how to find the places we just talked about. I'd like you to pretend you're helping her find the places. We will be always starting from the center hall by the front door of the school. Can you tell me the idea?"

To demonstrate understanding the child had to include the following elements: (a) the new person in class doesn't know where any of the places are, (b) the starting point for each direction is the front door of the school, and (c) the child wants to help the new person find the different locations. For children who were confused, the instructions were repeated until the child understood. This procedure of having an imaginary listener has been used extensively (e.g., Asher & Parke, 1975; Shantz & Wilson, 1972) and posed no conceptual difficulty for the children.

Word pair task. Each child was presented with ten word pairs from Cohen and Klein's (1968) 30-word pair set (e.g., ship-boat, dish-plate, mitten-glove) Two criteria were used to select the word pairs. First, the items had to be sufficiently difficult. Second, the items had to be sensitive to age effects. Cohen and Klein's (1968) raw data were examined to select items that met these two criteria. Word pairs selected were those that: (a) of 40 children at each grade level in Cohen and Klein's third and fifth grade sample at least nine children made an error, and (b) at least two fewer children in fifth than in third grade made an error.

Each word pair was on a card with the referent underlined. Each pair was read to the child and the child was asked to give a one-word massage for each pair. A check for the child's understanding was included in the instructions. (See Asher & Parke, 1975, Experiment 2, for the exact instructions.)

Picture description task. Each child was shown the ten picture sets devised by Baldwin et al. (1971). Each set contains seven highly similar pictures, one of which is designated as the referent. The pictures within each set differ on the basis of four attributes that are independently varied. Along with these sets, the child was shown a single picture of the referent for each set. The child received instructions that closely paralleled the word pair instructions, including a check for the child's understanding. Before giving each message, the child was asked to point to the picture in each set that matched the single referent picture. While communicating, the child looked at both the single referent picture and the set of seven similar pictures.

Scoring and Measures

Two methods have been used in previous research to assess the quality of children's messages. The first is to give the child's messages to naive listeners who are asked to identify the referent in each item from each message. Often adult listeners are used rather than peer listeners to avoid confounding speaker and listener error (Asher & Parke, 1975; Krauss & Glucksberg, 1969). In this approach the speaker receives a score based on the number of correct identifications made by the listener from the speaker's clucks.

9

The other method is to have adults judge the quality of the child's messages (Asher, 1975; Flavell et al., 1968). In this case, judges know what the speaker is trying to communicate and rate whether each clue would be effective. Judges' rating of word pair messages have been found to correlate highly with the performance of naive listeners (Asher, 1976). The judge method has a particular advantage over the listener method for the purpose of classifying children as good or poor communicators. In the listener method a listener could identify many of the referents by chance alone. In the word pair task this chance score could be as high as 50%. This results in an overestimation of the number of good messages children have communicated. In contrast, having judges decide whether each clue is good results in a more accurate representation of the speaker's effectiveness. For this reason judge-based scores were used in the present research.

Three college students were asked to judge whether each message for each of the three tasks was effective or not. The judges were unaware of the age or sex of each communicator and were naive with respect to the purposes of the experiment. The average percent agreement between judges for the three tasks was 85 for the word pair task, 87 for the picture task and 76 for the locations task. This level of agreement compares favorably with previous research (Asher, 1976; Asher & Oden, 1976).

Each child received a communication accuracy score for each task. The score was the average number of messages judged to be effective by the three judges. For the word pair and picture description tasks the communication accuracy score could range from zero to ten. For the school-locations

directions task the score could range from zero to five. Accordingly, for purposes of analysis each child's score on the school locations task was doubled.

Children's school-administered IQ and reading achievement test scores were obtained. The IQ test was the Otis-Lennon Mental Ability Test Form J, and it had been administered to all the children when they were in the third grade. Reading achievement scores for the third grade children were from the Metropolitan Achievement Test, Elementary Battery, Form F. The fifth grade children's scores were from the STS Educational Development Series Test, Form A. These test scores were from the children's current school year at the rime of the study.

Results

A preliminary analysis showed that task order had no significant effects on any of the three communication scores, and thus task order was dropped from further consideration. Inspection of the reading achievement scores indicated that the third-grade girls had unusually high scores (averaging a year above grade leve) relative to the other groups. Since reading achievement scores for the entire sample were significantly correlated with the word pair accuracy scores, $\underline{r}(33) = .42$, $\underline{p} < .05$, and with the school-locations directions accuracy scores, $\underline{r}(33) = .48$, $\underline{p} < .01$, children's reading achievement scores were used as a covariate in a 2 x 2 (Grade x Sex) multivariate analysis of covariance of the three communication dependent measures. The covariate used in the analysis was the grade-equivalent scores comparable.

for both groups, and so a value of two was subtracted from each of the fifth grader's scores. One fifth grade girl did not have a reading achievement score, and her data were dropped from this analysis.

Table 1 presents the adjusted means for each of the three accuracy measures. As can be seen, improvement over age occurred on all measures, with the largest effect appearing on the directions task. The main effect for grade level was significant, multivariate F(3,28) = 8.368, p < .01. The main effect for sex, F(3,28) = < 1, and the Grade x Sex interaction effect $\underline{F}(3,28) = 1.512$, were not significant.

Insert Table 1 about here

A significant analysis was also performed to further investigate the pattern of age differences on the three measures. Inspection of the standardized weights) of the discriminant function indicated that the largest weight, .893, was associated with the school locations measure. The standardized weights associated with the other variables were smaller; .317 for the picture description measure, and .118 for the word pair measure. This analysis indicated that the school locations score contributes the most to the differentiation between grade levels, followed by the picture description measure and then the word pair measure. Thus, this analysis disconfirms the hypothesis that age differences in communication accuracy would be minimized on the locations task.

· Next, correlational analyses were performed to examine the relationship between performance on different communication tasks and to examine the

relationship between 10 and communication accuracy, and reading achievement and communication accuracy. Table 2 presents the correlation matrix. Looking first at the relationship between communication tasks, it can be seen that third grade children's communication accuracy scores were essentially uncorrelated, except for a moderate correlation between the word pair and location directions scores, $\underline{r}(18) = .34$, ns. Fifth grade children's word pair and picture description accuracy scores were significantly related, $\underline{r}(14) = .54$, $\underline{p} < .05$, and the word pair and location directions scores were moderately related, $\underline{r}(14) = .35$, ns. Thus, the fifth grade children's communication performance is somewhat more consistent than the third grade children's performance, especially between the word pair and other tasks.

Insert Table 2 about here

Relationships between IQ and communication accuracy, and reading achievement and communication accuracy, are somewhat surprising. As in previous research, IQ was not significantly related to communication performance. However, reading achievement was significantly related on three of six correlations, with a consistently positive relationship at both grade levels between reading achievement and word pair accuracy.

Discussion

A major focus of interest in the present study was the magnitude of age differences in performance on different types of tasks. Fifth grade children communicated more accurately than the third grade children on all three tasks,

with the greatest age differences occurring on the school-locations directions task. This larger difference was a result of fifth grade children performing better on this task than they did on the other two tasks, whereas the average performance for the third grade children was about the same on all three tasks. Thus, even though the third and fifth grade children could find all the locations and visited them each day, the fifth grade children were much more successful in communicating directions. These results suggest that the type of task employed is an important variable in the study of children's referential communication skills. It is especially interesting that the locations task was most sensitive to performance differences in children. Development of such ecologically valid tasks should be a priority for future research, since the results obtained seem more likely to generalize to other "real world" situations than do results from laboratory-type tasks.

The results provide some evidence that fifth grade children's communication skills are more consistent across tasks than third grade children's skills. Support for this claim comes from an examination of the two previous studies that assessed the relationship between performance on different tasks. The correlations between tasks in Baldwin and Garvey's (1973) study, conducted with fifth grade children, are higher than those in the Piché et al. (1975) study, conducted with fourth grade children. These results, along with those of the present study, suggest that communication performance becomes more consistent as children get older. Future research should assess this possibility by assessing older as well as younger children's performance on various tasks.

Still, the relationships between tasks even for fifth grade children in the present study are not exceptionally strong. One implication of this finding, and the findings of the other investigators, is that performance on these different tasks requires different skills in addition to comparison activity. Vocabulary skill, perceptual skill, and spatial representation ability are potentially relevant to performance on these tasks. Thus, grouping tasks that all require comparison activity does not mean that performance on those tasks will be highly related.

A final purpose of the present study was to assess the relationship of 1Q and reading achievement to communication accuracy. As in most previous research there was little relationship between IQ and communication accuracy. Reading achievement, however, was more consistently related to performance on the communication tasks, with the relationship strongest for the word pair task. This finding is puzzling; why, when IQ and reading achievement are correlated with one another (see Table 2), does reading achievement correlate more highly than IQ with communication performance? One possibility is that the demand to engage in comparison activity is greater on reading achievement tests. However, an inspection of the 10 and achievement tests indicated that both seem to require the child to engage in comparison activity. is, the tests are constructed in a multiple-choice-type format in which the child must choose the correct answer from similar answers. About 40% of the items on the Otis-Lennon 10 test are primarily perceptual in nature (e.g., selecting the one geometric shape that is different from three others), whereas the reading tests ask multiple-choice questions about different paragraphs that the child reads. Perhaps the reading achievement test requires the same

kinds of vocabulary skills relevant to performance on the word pair task, thus accounting for the relationship between the two measures. The IQ test, like the picture description task, assesses perceptual skills but does not require that children encode verbally their perceptual discriminations. Further research is needed to illuminate the relationship between IQ, reading achievement, and communication accuracy.

References

- Asher, S. R. Children's ability to appraise their own and another person's communication performance. Developmental Psychology, 1976, 12, 24-32.
- Asher, S. R., & Oden, S. L. Children's failure to communicate: An assessment of comparison and egocentrism explanations. <u>Developmental</u>

 Psychology, 1976, 12, 132-140.
- Asher, S. R., & Parke, R. D. The influence of sampling and comparison processes on the development of communication effectiveness. <u>Journal</u> of Educational Psychology, 1975, 67, 64-75.
- Baldwin, T. L., & Garvey, C. J. Components of accurate problem-solving communications. American Educational Research Journal, 1973, 10, 30-48.
- Baldwin, T.L., McFarlane, P. T., & Garvey, C. J. Children's communication accuracy related to race and socioeconomic status. Child Development, 1971, 42, 345-357.
- Berlyne, D. E., & Frommer, F. D. Some determinants of the incidence and content of children's questions. Child Development, 1966, 37, 177-189.
- Cazden, C. B. The neglected situation in child language research and education. In F. Williams (Ed.), Language and poverty. Chicago: Markham,
- Cohen, B. D., & Klein, J. F. Referential communication in school age children.

 Child Development, 1968, 39, 597-609.
- The development of role taking and communication skills in children.

 New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1968.

- Glucksberg, S., Krauss, R. M., & Higgins, E. T. The development of referential communication skills. In F. Horowitz, E. M. Hetherington, & G. Siegel (Eds.), <u>Review of child development research</u> (Vol. 4). Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1975.
- Krauss, R. M., & Glucksberg, S. The development of communication: Competence as a function of age. Child Development, 1969, 407, 255-266.
- Piché, G. L., Michlin, M. C., Rubin, D. L., & Johnson, F. L. Relationships between fourth graders' performances on selected role-taking and referential communication tasks. Child Development, 1975, 46, 965-969.
- Rosenberg, S., & Cohen, B. D., Referential processes of speakers and listeners.

 Psychological Review, 1966, 73, 208-231.
- Shantz, C. U., & Wilson, K. E. Training communication skills in young children. Child Development, 1972, 43, 603-608.
- Whitehurst, G. J., & Merkur, E. A. The development of communication:

 Modeling and contrast failure. Child Development, 1977, 48, 993-1001.
- Williams, F., & Naremore, R. C. On the functional analysis of social class differences in modes of speech. Speech Monographs, 1969, 36, 77-102.

Footnotes

Requests for reprints should be sent to either author at the Department of Educational Psychology, 210 Education Building, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801.

To equalize items across tasks it would have been desirable to use ten items on the school-locations task. Pilot testing indicated, however, that additional locations would have been unknown to some children.

Table 1

Third and Fifth Grade Children's Average

Communication Accuracy on Each Task

. Cuada		Task					
Grade		Word Pair	Picture descr	iption Schoo	ol locations a		
Third ^b	· 10	3.26	2.65		3.22		
Fifth ^C		4.10	4.04		6.41		
		ď		·			

^aLocations means are doubled.

 $[\]frac{b}{n} = 20$.

 $[\]frac{c}{n} = 15$

Table 2

Correlations for Third and Fifth Grade Children

Correlation	Third (<u>n</u> ≈ 20)	fifth (n = 16) ^a
Word pairs and pictures	.09	-54**
Word pairs and school locations	.34	. 35
Pictures and school locations	.15	2.05
10 and word pairs	. 07	.20
10 and pictures	.07	.36
10 and school locations	.59***	. 06
Reading achievement and word pairs	.43*	. 57**
Reading achievement and pictures	13	.04
Reading achievement and school locations	. 35	. 58**
1Q and reading achievement	.65***	40

 $[\]frac{a_n}{n} = 15$ for reading achievement.

p < .10

^{**}p < .05

^{***} n < .01

Figure Caption

Figure 1. Map of the school with the six locations designated.



			Či		: 0	<i>*</i> ,	Porta !	ble White B	 	Ŋġ
# (g) # (# (# (# (# (# (# (# (# (# (# (# (# (•	4 4.	,		и		108 Grade 6	X	111 Grade 5
* j	ě		San Marian Baran Marian				,	107 Grade 5		Grade 5 Basket ball hoose
đ					± .			Grade 5 105 Grade 4		Grade 5 Givisi Restroom
rij				1 a 2 s			# ************************************	104 Grade 4		Carodian Corrodian
	211 Grade 3	210 Grade 2	209 Grade 2	208 Grade 1	207 Special Education	Teachers'	. \ \ \ \	Grade I 102 Kinder- garten		Gym (Community Room)
X				***** *****	L Room	لمحميحها	Hirs H	******	,	
	205 Grade 3	204 Grade 3	203 Grade 2		201 Kinder- garten	200A Principal's Office	200 Office	Reading Center Speach Room	.,,	Library
QIC								Fro	NE DO	ors

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING READING EDUCATION REPORTS

- No. 1: Durkin, D. <u>Comprehension Instruction--Where Are You?</u>, October 1977. (EI:IC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 566, 14p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$.83)
- No. 2: Asher, S. R. <u>Sex Differences in Reading Achievement</u>, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 567, 30p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 3: Adams, M. J., Anderson, R. C., & Durkin, D. <u>Beginning Reading: Theory</u> and Practice, November 1977.
- No. 4: Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. <u>Teaching Reading Comprehension in the Middle Grades</u>, January 1978.
- No. 5: Bruce, B. What Makes a Good Story?, June 1978.

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

TECHNICAL REPORTS

* Available only through ERIĆ

- *No. 1: Halff, H. M. *Graphical Evaluation of Hierarchical Clustering Schemes, October 1975. (ERIC Document-Reproduction-Service No. ED 134 926, 11p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$.83)
- *No. 2: Spiro, R. J. <u>Inferential Reconstruction in Memory for Connected Discourse</u>, October 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. EU 136 187, 81p., HC-\$4.67, MF-\$.83)
- *No. 3: Goetz, E. T. <u>Sentences in Lists and in Connected Discourse</u>, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 927, 75p., HC-\$3.50, MF-\$.83)
- *No. 4: Alessi, S. M., Anderson, T. H., & Biddle, W. B. <u>Hardware and Software Considerations in Computer Based Course Management</u>, November 1975.

 (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 928, 21p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$.83)
- *No. 5: Schallert, D. L. <u>Improving Memory for Prose: The Relationship Between Depth of Processing and Context</u>, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 929, 37p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$:83)
- *No. 6: Anderson, R. C., Goetz, E. T., Pichert, J. W., & Halff, H. M. Two Faces of the Conceptual Peg Hypothesis, January 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 930, 29p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- *No. 7: Ortony, A. Names, Descriptions, and Pragmatics, February 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 931, 25p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$1.83)
- *No. 8: Mason, J. M. Questioning the Notion of Independent Processing Stages in Reading, February 1976. (Journal of Educational Psychology, 1977, 69, 288-297)
- *No. 9: Siegel, M. A. <u>Teacher Behaviors and Curriculum Packages: Implications</u>
 for Research and Teacher Education, April 1976. (ERIC Document
 Reproduction Service No. ED 134 932, 42p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- *No. 10: Anderson, R. C., Pichert, J. W., Goetz, E. T., Schallert, D. L., Stevens, K. V., & Trollip, S. R. <u>Instantiation of General Terms</u>, March 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 933, 30p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- *No. 11: Armbruster, B. B. <u>Learning Principles from Prose: A Cognitive Approach</u>
 Based on Schema Theory, July 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 934, 48p., HC-\$.206, MF-\$.83)

- *No. 12: Anderson, R. C., Reynolds, R. E., Schallert, D. L., & Goetz, E. T.

 Frameworks for Comprehending Discourse, July 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 935, 33p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 13: Rubin, A. D., Bruce, B. C., & Brown, J. S. A Process-oriented language for Describing Aspects of Reading Comprehension, November 1976.

 (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 188, 41p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 14: Pichert, J. W., & Anderson, R. C. <u>Taking Different Perspectives on a Story</u>, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 936, 30p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 15: Schwartz, R. M. <u>Strategic Processes in Beginning Reading</u>, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 937, 19p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$.83)
- No. 16: Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. <u>Curriculum Biases in Reading Achievement</u>.

 Tests, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 938, 24p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$.83)
- No. 17: Asher, S. R., Hymel, S., & Wigfield, A. Children's Comprehension of High- and Low-Interest Material and a Comparison of Two Cloze Scoring Methods, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 939, 32p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 18: Brown, A. L., Smiley, S. S., Day, J. D., Townsend, M. A. R., & Lawton, S. C. <u>Intrusion of a Thematic Idea in Children's Comprehension and Retention of Stories</u>, December 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 189, 39p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 19: Kleiman, G. M. The Prelinguistic Cognitive Basis of Children's Communicative Intentions, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 940, 51p., HC-\$3.50, MF-\$.83)
- No. 20: Kleiman, G. M. The Effect of Previous Context on Reading Individual
 Words, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No.
 ED 134 941, 76p., HC-\$4.67, MF-\$.83)
- No. 21: Kane, J. H., & Anderson, R. C. <u>Depth of Processing and Interference Effects in the Learning and Remembering of Sentences</u>, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 942, 29p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 22: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. Memory Strategies in Learning:

 Training Children to Study Strategically, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 234, 54p., HC-\$3.50, MF-\$1.83)
- No. 23: Smiley, S. S., Oakley, D. D., Worthen, D., Campione, J. C., & Brown,
 A. L. <u>Recall of Thematically Relevant Material by Adolescent Good and Poor Readers as a Function of Written Versus Oral / Presentation</u>, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 235, 23p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$.83)

- No. 24: Anderson, R. C., Spiro, R. J., & Anderson, M. C. Schemata as Scaffolding for the Representation of Information in Connected Discourse, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 236, 18p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$.83)
- No. 25: Pany, D., & Jenkins, J. R. <u>Learning Word Meanings</u>: A <u>Comparison of Instructional Procedures and Effects on Measures of Reading Comprehension with Learning Disabled Students</u>, March 1977.

 (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 237, 34p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 26: Armbruster, B. B., Stevens, R. J., & Rosenshine, B. Analyzing Content Coverage and Emphasis: A Study of Three Curricula and Two Tests, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 238, 22p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$.83)
- No. 27: Ortony, A., Reynolds, R. E., & Arter, J. A. Metaphor: Theoretical and Empirical Research, March 1977.
- No. 28: Ortony, A. Remembering and Understanding Jabberwocky and Small-Talk,
 March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 753,
 36 p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 29: Schallert, D. L., Kleiman, G. M., & Rubin, A. D. <u>Analysis of Differences</u>

 <u>Between Oral and Written Language</u>, April 1977. (ERIC Document

 <u>Reproduction Servic</u> No. ED 144 038, 33p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 30: Goetz, E. T., & Osborn, J. <u>Procedures for Sampling Texts and Tasks</u>
 <u>in Kindergarten through Eighth Grade</u>, April 1977. (ERIC Document
 Reproduction Service No. ED 146 565, 80p., HC-\$4.67, MF-\$.83)
- No. 31: Nash-Webber, B. <u>Anaphora: A Cross-Disciplinary Survey</u>, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 039, 43p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 32: Adams, M. J., & Collins, A. <u>A Schema-Theoretic View of Reading Compre-hension</u>, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No: ED 146 565, 80p., HC-\$4.67, MF-\$.83)
- No. 33: Huggins, A. W. F. <u>Syntactic Aspects of Reading Comprehension</u>, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 972, 68p., HC-\$3.50, MF-\$.83)
- No. 34: Bruce, B. C. Plans and Social Actions, April 1977.
- No. 35: Rubin, A. D. Comprehension Processes in Oral and Written Language, April 1977.
- No. 36: Nash-Webber, B., & Reiter, R. Anaphora and Logical Form: On Formal Meaning Representations for Natural Language, April 1977.

 (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 973, 42p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 37: Adams, M. J. Failures to Comprehend and Levels of Processing in Reading, April 1977.

- No. 38: Woods, W. A. <u>Multiple Theory Formation in High-Level Perception</u>,
 April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 020,
 58p., HC-\$3.50, MF-\$.83)
- No. 40: Collins, A., Brown, J. S., & Larkin, K. M. <u>Inference in Text Understanding</u>, December 1977.
- No. 41: Anderson, R. C., & Pichert, J. W. <u>Recall of Previously Unrecallable Information Following a Shift in Perspective</u>, April 1977.

 (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 974, 37p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 42: Mason, J., Osborn, J., & Rosenshine, B. <u>A Consideration of Skill</u>
 <u>Hierarchy Approaches to the Teaching of Reading</u>, December 1977.
- No. 43: Collins, A., Brown, A. L., Morgan, J. L., & Brewer, W. F. <u>The Analysis</u> of Reading Tasks and Texts, April 1977.
- No. 44: McClure, E. Aspects of Code-Switching in the Discourse of Bilingual

 Mexican-American Children, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction

 Service No. ED 142 975, 39p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 45: Schwartz, R. M. <u>Relation of Context Utilization and Orthographic</u>
 Automaticity in Word Identification, May 1977.
- No. 46: Anderson, R. C., Stevens, K. C., Shifrin, Z., & Osborn, J. <u>Instantiation of Word Meanings in Children</u>, May 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 976, 22p., HC-\$1.67, MF-\$.83)
- No. 47: Brown, A. L. Knowing When, Where, and How to Remember: A Problem of Metacognition, June 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 562, 152p., HC-\$8.65, MF-\$.83)
- No. 48: Brown, A. L., & DeLoache, J. S. Skills, Plans, and Self-Regulation, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 040, 66p., HC-\$3.50, MF-\$.83)
- No. 49: Goetz, E. T. <u>Inferences in the Comprehension of and Memory for Text</u>, July 1977.
- No. 50: Anderson, R. C. <u>Schema-Directed Processes in Language Comprehension</u>, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 977, 33p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 51: Brown, A. L. Theories of Memory and the Problems of Development:

 Activity, Growth, and Knowledge, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 041, 59p., HC-\$3.50, MF-\$.83)
- No. 52: Morgan, J. L. <u>Two Types of Convention in Indirect Speech Acts</u>,
 July 1977.
- No. 53: Brown, A. L., Smiley, S. S., & Lawton, S. C. <u>The Effects of Experience on the Selection of Suitable Retrieval Cues for Studying from Prose Passages</u>, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 042, 30p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)

- No. 54: Fleisher, L. S., & Jenkins, J. R. <u>Effects of Contextualized and Decontextualized Practice Conditions on Word Recognition</u>, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 043, 37p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 55: Jenkins, J. R., & Larson, K. <u>Evaluating Error Correction Procedures for Oral Reading</u>, June 1978.
- No. 56: Anderson, T. H., Standiford, S. N., & Alessi, S. M. <u>Computer Assisted Problem Solving in an Introductory Statistics Course</u>, August 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 563, 26p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 57: Barnitz, J. <u>Interrelationship of Orthography and Phonological Structure</u> in Learning to Read, August 1977.
- No. 58: Mason, J. M. The Role of Strategy in Reading in the Mentally Retarded, September 1977.
- No. 59: Mason, J. M. <u>Reading Readiness: A Definition and Skills Hierarchy</u>
 <u>from Preschoolers' Developing Conceptions of Print</u>, September 1977.
- No. 60: Spiro, R. J., & Esposito, J. J. <u>Superficial Processing of Explicit Inferences in Text</u>, December 1977.
- No. 61: Spiro, R. J., & Smith, D. <u>Distinguishing Sub-Types of Poor Comprehenders</u>:

 <u>Overreliance on Conceptual vs. Data-Driven Processes</u>, April 1978.
- No. 65: Brewer, W. F. Memory for the Pragmatic Implications of Sentences,
 October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 564,
 27p., HC-\$2.06, MF-\$.83)
- No. 66: Brown, A. L., & Smiley, S. S. <u>The Development of Strategies for Studying Prose Passages</u>, October 1977.
- No. 68: Stein, N. L., & Nezworski, T. <u>The Effects of Organization and Instructional Set on Story Memory</u>, January 1978.
- No. 69: Stein, N. L. <u>How Children Understand Stories: A Developmental Analysis</u>,
 March 1978.
- No. 76: Thieman, T. J., & Brown, A. L. <u>The Effects of Semantic and Formal Similarity on Recognition Memory for Sentences in Children, November 1977.</u>
- No. 77: Nash-Webber, B. L. <u>Inference in an Approach to Discourse Anaphora</u>, January 1978.
- No. 78: Gentner, D. On Relational Meaning: The Acquisition of Verb Meaning, December 1977.
- No. 79: Royer, J. M. Theories of Learning Transfer, January 1978.
- No. 80: Arter, J. A., & Jenkins, J. R. <u>Differential Diagnosis-Prescriptive Teaching: A Critical Appraisal</u>, January 1978.

- No. 81: Shoben, E. J. <u>Choosing a Model of Sentence Picture Comparisons: A Reply to Catlin and Jones</u>, February 1978.
- No. 82: Steffensen, M. S. <u>Bereiter and Engelmann Reconsidered: The Evidence</u>
 <u>from Children Acquiring Black English Vernacular</u>, March 1978.
- No. 83: Reynolds, R. E., Standiford, S. N., & Anderson, R. C. <u>Distribution</u>
 of Reading Time when Questions are Asked about a Restricted
 Category of Text Information, April 1978.
- No. 84: Baker, L. <u>Processing Temporal Relationships in Simple Stories: Effects</u>
 of Input Sequence, April 1978.
- No. 85: Mason, J. M., Knisely, E., & Kendall, J. <u>Effects of Polysemous Words</u> on Sentence Comprehension, May 1978.
- No. 86: Anderson, T. H., Wardrop, J. L., Hively, W., Muller, K. E., Anderson, R. I., Hastings, C. N., & Frederiksen, J. <u>Development and Trial of a Model for Developing Domain Referenced Tests of Reading Comprehension</u>, May 1978.
- No. 87: André, M. E. D. A., & Anderson, T. H. <u>The Development and Evaluation</u> of a Self-Questioning Study Technique, June 1978.
- No. 88: Bruce, B., & Newman, D. Interacting Plans, June 1978.
- No. 89: Bruce, B., Collins, A., Rubin, A. D., & Gentner, D. <u>A Cognitive</u>
 Science Approach to Writing, June 1978.
- No. 90: Asher, S. T. Referential Communication, June 1978.
- No. 91: Royer, J. M., & Cunningham, D. J. On the Theory and Measurement of Reading Comprehension, June 1978.
- No. 92: Mason, J. M., & Kendall, J. R. <u>Facilitating Reading Comprehension</u> through Text Structure Manipulation, June 1978.
- No. 93: Ortony, A., Schallert, D. L., Reynolds, R. E., & Antos, S. J. <u>Interpreting Metaphors and Idioms: Some Effects of Context on Comprehension</u>, July 1978.
- No. 94: Brown, A. L., Campione, J. C., & Barclay, C. R. <u>Training Self-checking</u>
 Routines for Estimating Test Readiness: Generalization from List
 Learning to Prose Recall, July 1978.
- No. 95: Reichman, R. Conversational Coherency, July 1978.
- No. 96: Wigfield, A., & Asher, S. R. <u>Age Differences in Children's Referential Communication Performance: An Investigation of Task Effects</u>,

 July 1978.